

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/295,555 04/21/1999 THOMAS WEYH GK-ZEI-3049 7310 26418 7590 06/04/2003 REED SMITH, LLP **EXAMINER** ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR ROBINSON, MARK A NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2872

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | Application | | T | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------|
| <u>.</u> | | | | Application | N . | Applicant(s) | |
| | Offic | Action Summary | | 09/295,555 | _ | WEYH ET AL. | |
| | | o to the control of t | | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | - The MAII | ING DATE of this commu | minadia | Mark A. Rob | inson | 2872 | |
| Period fo | or Reply | ING DATE of this commu | иисацоп арр | ears on the c | over sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | idress |
| - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r | nsions of time m SIX (6) MONTH period for reply period for reply re to reply within reply received by | STATUTORY PERIOD ATE OF THIS COMMUN Nay be available under the provision as from the mailing date of this community is specified above is less than thirty (it is specified above, the maximum so in the set or extended period for replay the Office later than three months djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. (30) days, a reply statutory period w | 6(a). In no event, within the statutor, ill apply and will ex | however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from t | ely filed s will be considered timel | y. ommunication. |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsi | ve to communication(s) f | iled on 01 A | pril 2003 | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | | n is FINAL . | | s action is no | n-final | | |
| 3) | Since this | application is in conditio | n for allows: | nce except to | r formal | | |
| Dispositi | closed in a con of Clain | | tice under E | x parte Quay | /le, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213. | e merits is |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1</u> | <u>-4,7-9 and 11-15</u> is/are p | ending in th | e application. | | | |
| | | above claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are | | | | | |
| | | is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| | | 4 and 11-15 is/are reject | ed. | | | | |
| | | is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | | are subject to restric | tion and/or | election requi | rement | | |
| Application | n Papers | | | orodion requi | rement. | | |
| 9)□ ⊤ | he specifica | ation is objected to by the | Examiner. | | • | | |
| 10) 🔲 T | he drawing | (s) filed on is/are: | a) accepte | ed or b) obje | ected to by the Exami | iner | |
| | Applicant m | nay not request that any obj | ection to the o | Irawing(s) be h | neld in abevance. See | 37 CER 1 85(a) | |
| 11) 🗌 TI | ne propose | d drawing correction filed | | | ved b) disapprove | | |
| | If approved, | corrected drawings are req | uired in reply | to this Office a | action. | ou by the Examiner | • |
| 12) 🔲 Tł | ne oath or c | leclaration is objected to | by the Exan | niner. | | | |
| riority un | der 35 U.S | .C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) 🗌 A | cknowledg | ment is made of a claim | for foreign p | rioritv under 3 | 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)_(| d) or (f) | |
| a) <u></u> | All b) | Some * c) None of: | J F | , | 3 113(a)-(| a) or (1). | |
| | | ed copies of the priority of | locuments h | ave been rec | eived | | |
| 2. | . Certific | ed copies of the priority d | ocuments h | ave been rec | eived in Application | No | |
| 3. | | s of the certified copies o | f the priority | documents h | ave heen received i | NO | |
| * See | the attach | ed detailed Office action | for a list of t | the certified c | 17.2(a)). opies not received | | |
| 14)∐ Ack | nowledgme | ent is made of a claim for | domestic p | riority under 3 | 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (| to a provisional a | onlication) |
| a) L | _ine trans | slation of the foreign land | uage provis | ional annlicat | ion has been receive | | -phoduony. |
| اعلم اللازو، | nowledgin | ent is made of a claim for | r domestic p | riority under : | 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 an | d/or 121. | |
| acnment(s) | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Notice of ☐ Informati | f Draftsperson on Disclosure | Cited (PTO-892) 's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap | D-948) er No(s) | 4) 5) 6) | Notice of Informal Pate | O-413) Paper No(s). nt Application (PTO-1 | 52) |
| atent and Trader -326 (Rev. 0 | nark Office 4-01) | | Office Action | Summan | _ | | |

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, 1. including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/28/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not 2. included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uhl in view of Stafford, both of record.

These claims stand rejected as discussed in the previous office action. Regarding the amendment to claim 1, "wavelengths that have been spatially dispersed by the dispersion element are received by the detector" is still seen to be met by the references since Uhl's wavelengths are in fact dispersed by a dispersion element and are received by a detector.

Application/Control Number: 09/295,555

Art Unit: 2872

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that Uhl does not show the wavelengths to be incident upon the detector as dispersed light.

However, the claims do not require dispersed light to impinge upon the detector. The claims only require that the light incident upon the detector has been dispersed by the dispersion element, which the references show. Accordingly, the claims as currently presented do not patentably distinguish the present invention over the prior art.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rosencwaig et al, Aspnes et al, Shimada and Stimson et al all teach systems with dispersed selected wavelengths being incident upon detecting means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Robinson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3506.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/295,555

Art Unit: 2872

Page 4

be reached at (703) 308-1687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MR

5/29/03

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER